



Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site


PRE-DEMOLITION SURVEY REPORT (PDSR)

Building 774 East Dock Slab

REVISION 0

July 19, 2004

**CLASSIFICATION REVIEW NOT REQUIRED PER
EXEMPTION NUMBER CEX-005-02**



ADMIN RECORD

B771-A-000261


PRE-DEMOLITION SURVEY REPORT (PDSR)

Building 774 East Dock Slab

REVISION 0


July 19, 2004.

Prepared by:


Tommy Fontaine, Radiological Engineer


Date: 7/19/04

Reviewed by:


Sarah Roberts, Radiological Safety Manager

Date: 7/19/04

Approved by:


Chris Gilbreath, B771 Project Manager

Date: 7/19/04

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS	IV
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	VI
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PURPOSE	1
1.2 SCOPE	1
1.3 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES	1
1.3.1 The Problem	1
1.3.2 The Decision	2
1.3.3 Inputs to the Decision	2
1.3.4 Decision Boundaries	2
1.3.5 Decision Rules	2
1.3.5.1 Radionuclides	2
1.3.5.2 Hazardous Waste	2
1.3.5.3 Hazardous Substances	3
1.3.5.4 Beryllium	3
1.3.5.5 PCBs	3
1.3.5.6 Asbestos	3
1.3.6 Tolerable Limits on Decision Error	3
1.3.7 Optimization of Plan Design	3
2 HISTORICAL SITE ASSESSMENT	4
3 RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND HAZARDS	4
4 CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND HAZARDS	5
4.1 ASBESTOS	5
4.2 BERYLLIUM (Be)	6
4.3 RCRA/CERCLA CONSTITUENTS [INCLUDING METALS AND VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (VOCs)]	6
4.4 POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBs)	6
5 PHYSICAL HAZARDS	6
6 DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT	7
7 DECOMMISSIONING WASTE TYPES	7
8 FACILITY CLASSIFICATION AND CONCLUSIONS	7
9 REFERENCES	9

ATTACHMENTS

- A Survey Unit Overview Map
- B Survey Unit 771049 Radiological Data Summary and Survey Map
- C Chemical Data Summaries and Sample Maps
- D Data Quality Assessment Details
- E Historical Review

ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS

ACM	Asbestos Containing Material
Be	Beryllium
CDPHE	Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment
DCGL _{EMC}	Derived Concentration Guideline Level – elevated measurement comparison
DCGL _w	Derived Concentration Guideline Level – Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test
D&D	Decontamination and Decommissioning
DDCP	Decontamination and Decommissioning Characterization Protocol
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DPP	Decommissioning Program Plan
DQA	Data quality assessment
DQOs	Data quality objectives
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FDPM	Facility Disposition Program Manual
HVAC	Heating, ventilation, air conditioning
HSAR	Historical Site Assessment Report
HEUN	Highly Enriched Uranyl Nitrate
IHSS	Individual Hazardous Substance Site
IWCP	Integrated Work Control Package
K-H	Kaiser-Hill
LBP	Lead-based paint
LLW	Low-level waste
MARSSIM	Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual
MDA	Minimum detectable activity
MDC	Minimum detectable concentration
NORM	Naturally occurring radioactive material
NRA	Non-Rad-Added Verification
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PARCC	Precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability and completeness
PCBs	Polychlorinated Biphenyls
PDS	Pre-demolition survey
PDSR	Pre-demolition survey report
QC	Quality Control
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RFCA	Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement
RFETS	Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
RFFO	Rocky Flats Field Office
RLC	Reconnaissance Level Characterization
RLCR	Reconnaissance Level Characterization Report
RSA	Removable Surface Activity
RSOP	RFCA Standard Operating Protocol
RSP	Radiological Safety Practices
SVOCs	Semi-volatile organic compounds
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure

TSA	Total surface activity.
VOCs	Volatile organic compounds
WSRIC	Waste Stream and Residue Identification and Characterization

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Pre-Demolition Survey was performed to enable compliant disposition and waste management of the Building 774 East Dock floor. Because this pad will be demolished, the characterization was performed in accordance with the Pre-Demolition Survey Plan (MAN-127-PDSP). Structural surfaces characterized as part of this PDS include the exposed surfaces of the B774 East Dock pad.

The PDS encompassed both chemical and radiological characterization. The characterization was built upon physical, chemical and radiological hazards identified in the facility-specific *B771 and B774 Hazards Characterization Report for the 771 Closure Project*.

Based upon the results of this PDSR, the Building 774 East Dock Pad meets the unrestricted release limits specified in the site Pre-Demolition Survey Plan. This structure can be demolished and the concrete can be used for backfill on-site per the RFCA RSOP for Recycling Concrete.

1 INTRODUCTION

A Pre-Demolition Survey was performed to enable compliant disposition and waste management of the Building 774 East Dock Pad. Because this Type 3 area will be demolished, the characterization was performed in accordance with the Pre-Demolition Survey Plan (MAN-127-PDSP). The results of this survey shall demonstrate that Building 774 East Dock Pad meet the unrestricted release limits specified in the site Pre-Demolition Survey Plan. Structural surfaces characterized as part of this PDS include the exposed surfaces of the Building 774 East Dock Pad.

As part of the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS) Closure Project, numerous facilities will be removed. Among these is Building 774 East Dock pad. This area no longer supports the RFETS mission and will be removed to reduce Site infrastructure, risks and/or operating costs.

Before this Type 3 area can be demolished, the Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) for a Pre-Demolition Survey (PDS) must be satisfied; this document presents the PDS results for the Building 774 East Dock pad. The PDS was conducted pursuant to the Decontamination and Decommissioning Characterization Protocol (MAN-077-DDCP) and the Pre-Demolition Survey Plan for D&D Facilities (MAN-127-PDSP). The PDS is built upon physical, chemical and radiological hazards identified in the facility-specific *B771 and B774 Hazards Characterization Report for the 771 Closure Project*, dated June 12, 2001, Revision 0.

1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to communicate and document the results of the Building 774 East Dock Pad PDS effort. A PDS is performed prior to building demolition to define the pre-demolition radiological and chemical conditions of a facility. The pre-demolition conditions are compared with the release limits for radiological and non-radiological contaminants. PDS results will enable project personnel to make final disposition decisions, develop related worker health and safety controls, and estimate waste volumes by waste types.

1.2 SCOPE

This report presents the pre-demolition radiological and chemical conditions of the Building 774 East Dock Pad surfaces that will be free-released and used as backfill per the requirements of the *RFETS, RFCA RSOP for Recycling Concrete*.

1.3 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

The Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) used in designing this PDS meet the minimum requirements specified in Section 2.0 of the Pre-Demolition Survey Plan for D&D Facilities (MAN-127-PDSP). Refer to section 2.0 of MAN-127-PDSP for these DQOs.

1.3.1 The Problem

The problem involves determining whether or not the survey unit is suitable for unrestricted release in accordance with this plan.

1.3.2 The Decision

The decision is verification that objectives specified in the decommissioning decision document have been met (e.g., certain materials meet unrestricted release criteria for radiological and non-radiological constituents).

1.3.3 Inputs to the Decision

Inputs to the decision include the magnitude and location of data from preceding characterizations, including RLC and In-Process Characterization (IPC), PDS results, decision document action levels, and unrestricted release criteria.

1.3.4 Decision Boundaries

The decision boundaries are the spatial confines of the facility, including rooms and sets of rooms, in two and three dimensions. Boundaries may be further defined in RFCA decision documents.

1.3.5 Decision Rules

The following are decision rules to be used during PDS:

1.3.5.1 Radionuclides

If all radiological survey and scan measurements (and sample measurements, where sample activity is translated to surface activity as described in Section 7.2.3 of the Pre-Demolition Survey Plan for D&D Facilities (MAN-127-PDSP)), are below the surface contamination guidelines specified in the Site PDSP, then the related areas and/or volume are considered not radiologically contaminated. The media sample result is calculated by converting volumetric activity (typically reported in pCi/g) to surface activity (dpm/100 cm²). The volumetric result (pCi/g) is multiplied by the weight of the sample (grams) and by 2.22 (conversion from pCi to dpm).

If any radiological survey or scan measurement exceeds the surface contamination guidelines provided in the Pre-Demolition Survey Plan for D&D Facilities (MAN-127-PDSP), the related survey unit must be evaluated per the statistical tests described in section 7.0, Data Analysis and Quality Assessment, of this plan. If any radiological sample measurement (or disposal unit volume) exceeds 100 nanocuries per gram of transuranic material, the related volume of material is considered transuranic (TRU) waste.

1.3.5.2 Hazardous Waste

If decommissioning waste is mixed with or contains a listed hazardous waste, or if the waste exhibits a characteristic of a hazardous waste, then the waste is considered RCRA-regulated hazardous waste in accordance with 6 CCR 1007-3, Parts 261 and 268.

1.3.5.3 Hazardous Substances

If material contains a listed hazardous substance above a decision document action level (e.g., RFCA) and/or the CERCLA reportable quantity (40 CFR 302.4), the material is subject to CERCLA regulation (i.e., remediation and/or notification requirements).

1.3.5.4 Beryllium

If surface concentrations of beryllium are equal to or greater than $0.2 \mu\text{g}/100 \text{ cm}^2$, the material is considered beryllium contaminated per 10 CFR 850.

1.3.5.5 PCBs

If material contains PCBs, in a non-liquid state, from the manufacturing process at concentrations ≥ 50 ppm, the material is considered PCB Bulk Product Waste and subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 761.

If PCB contamination from a past spill/release is suspected, or if a PCB spill is discovered that has not been cleaned up, the associated material is considered PCB Remediation Waste and subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 761. PCB remediation waste includes: materials disposed of prior to April 18, 1978, that are currently at concentrations ≥ 50 ppm PCBs, regardless of the concentration of the original spill; materials which are currently at any volume or concentration where the original source was ≥ 500 ppm PCBs beginning on April 18, 1978, or ≥ 50 ppm PCBs beginning on July 2, 1979; and materials which are currently at any concentration if the PCBs are spilled or released from a source not authorized for use under 40 CFR 761.

If a waste or item contains PCBs in regulated concentrations, the waste or item is classified as PCB-regulated material and subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 761.

1.3.5.6 Asbestos

If any one sample of a sample set representing a homogeneous medium results in a positive detection (i.e., $>1\%$ by volume), then material is considered ACM (40 CFR 763 and 5 CCR 1001-10).

1.3.6 Tolerable Limits on Decision Error

Acceptable false negative (*a*) errors for calculating the number of samples generally range from 1% to 10%. The default value specified by the Site PDSP is 5%, which was assumed for the survey design in this report.

1.3.7 Optimization of Plan Design

Statistically based radiological surveying and sampling will be conducted per the guidance in Appendix B of the RFETS Pre-Demolition Survey Plan for D&D Facilities (MAN-127-PDSP). Refer to Section 4.0 of the PDSP for direction of characterization of non-radiological, chemical constituents. For this report, the minimum number of

measurement locations is fifteen per survey unit, as calculated based on the guidance in MAN-127-PDSP. The DCGL_w is 100 dpm/100 cm² for TSA and media measurements/samples, and 20 dpm/100 cm² for RSA measurements. The LBGR was adjusted to obtain a relative shift of two. The estimated standard deviation for each measurement type was calculated based on an assumed coefficient of variation of 30%.

The scan requirements for specific survey unit classifications are as follows:

Class 2: 10-100% of Floors

No Class 1 or 3 survey units are included in the scope of this report.

2 HISTORICAL SITE ASSESSMENT

A facility-specific Hazards Characterization Report was conducted to understand the facility history and related hazards. The Building 771 Hazards Characterization was performed in June 2001 (Refer *B771 and B774 Hazards Characterization Report for the 771 Closure Project*, dated June 12, 2001, Revision 0). No surveys/media samples were collected during characterization activities due to the low potential for residual radioactivity (based on process history), and because the area is not painted. However, the Building 774 East Dock is considered a Type 3 facility based on its proximity to Building 774, which is a Type 3 facility.

The area included in the scope of this PDSR is the Building 774 East Dock pad. This area is a 60 by 28-foot dock that was constructed in 1969. The metal/transite walls and roof were removed and disposed of as radiological waste. The only area that remains in the concrete slab (floor). The East Dock was primarily used for the shipping and receiving of drums and crates for Building 774. The area was not typically controlled/posted as a radiological area during operations and D&D.

The Building 774 East Dock is classified as Class 2 survey unit based on the low potential for radioactive contamination (not expected to exceed the DCGL_w) due to spills that may have occurred in the area, per Section 3.0 of the PDSP.

The hazards characterization results and historical review (refer to Attachment E) were used to identify PDS data gaps and needs, and to develop radiological and chemical PDS characterization packages. Characterization documentation is located in the Building 771 Characterization Project files.

3 RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND HAZARDS

The Building 774 East Dock Pad was characterized for radiological hazards per the PDSP. Radiological characterization was performed to define the nature and extent of radioactive materials that may be present on the facility surfaces. Measurements were performed to evaluate the contaminants of concern (weapons-grade plutonium isotopes). Based upon a review of the characterization data, historical and process knowledge, in-process survey data, building walk-downs, and the Site Pre-Demolition Survey Plan

(MAN-127-PDSP), a Radiological Characterization Plan was developed during the planning phase that describes the minimum survey requirements (refer to survey package 771049). A Survey Unit Overview Map is presented in Attachment A. Based on hazard characterization data and historical and process knowledge, transuranic isotopes are the primary contaminants of concern in Buildings 771/774. Therefore, the PDS was performed to the transuranic PDS unrestricted release criteria. Individual radiological survey unit packages are maintained in the Building 771 Characterization Project files.

The Building 774 East Dock Pad survey unit package was developed in accordance with Radiological Safety Practices (RSP) 16.01, *Radiological Survey/Sampling Package Design, Preparation, Control, Implementation and Closure*. Total surface activity (TSA) and removable surface activity (RSA) measurements were collected in accordance with RSP 16.02 *Radiological Surveys of Surfaces and Structures*. Radiological survey data were verified, validated and evaluated in accordance with RSP 16.04, *Radiological Survey/Sample Data Analysis*. Quality control measures were implemented relative to the survey process in accordance with RSP 16.05, *Radiological Survey/Sample Quality Control*.

Per the reference procedures, the required number of measurement locations is fifteen (15) per 1000 square-meters of floor area for Class 2 survey units. Scans were required on 100% of the slab surfaces.

Radiological survey data, statistical analysis results, survey locations, and radiological scan maps are presented in Attachment B, *Radiological Data Summary and Survey Maps*.

Building 774 East Dock Slab – (Survey Unit 771049)

The Building 774 East Dock Pad is classified as a Class 2 survey unit. The classification was based on the low potential for contamination based on process history and characterization data. A total of 15 random TSA and RSA measurements were collected. Surface scans of 158 m² (100% of total surface area) were performed.

All scans and surveys in survey unit 771049 were less than the applicable PDS transuranic DCGL values. Radiological survey data, statistical analysis results, survey locations, and radiological scan maps for survey unit 771049 are presented in Attachment B, *Survey Unit 771049 Radiological Data Summary and Survey Map*.

4 CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND HAZARDS

Based on a thorough review of historical and process knowledge, visual inspections, and personnel interviews, no additional chemical hazard sampling requirements were identified.

4.1 Asbestos

Asbestos containing building material is not present on the Building 774 East Dock concrete pad.

4.2 Beryllium (Be)

The Building 774 East Dock area has never been posted/controlled as Beryllium areas. Beryllium was not identified as a potential hazard in Table 4-1 of the *Reconnaissance Level Characterization Report Supplement, 771 Closure Project* on the Building 774 East Dock pad. Per the Beryllium Sampling Decision Tree in the PDSP, five (5) biased beryllium smear samples were collected on the East Dock, in accordance with the PDSP and the *Beryllium Characterization Procedure*, PRO-536-BCPR, Revision 0, September 9, 1999.

All beryllium smear sample results were less than the investigative limit of 0.1 $\mu\text{g}/100\text{cm}^2$. PDS beryllium laboratory sample data and location maps are contained in Attachment C, *Chemical Data Summaries and Sample Maps*.

4.3 RCRA/CERCLA Constituents [including metals and volatile organic compounds (VOCs)]

Based upon the *B771 and B774 Hazards Characterization Report, 771 Closure Project*, Revision 0, dated June 12, 2001, personnel interviews, facility walk-downs, and historical process knowledge (WSRIC/WEMS), the Building 774 East Dock pad was used to store hazardous waste drums during plant operations. No known spills or leaks occurred in this area. A visual inspection of the building by 771/774 Industrial Hygiene personnel verified the absence of hazardous waste residuals and/or stains on the concrete slabs, therefore no additional sampling was performed for residual oils. As a result of these observances, it has been determined that no sampling for other RCRA/CERCLA constituents is required. The concrete generated from the demolition of the areas included in the scope of this report can be used for onsite recycling in accordance with the Concrete Recycling RSOP.

4.4 Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Free-flowing PCBs were never used/transferred in this area. In addition, none of the surfaces on the B774 East Dock pad are painted. Therefore, PCBs are not a contaminant of concern for this survey unit.

5 PHYSICAL HAZARDS

Physical hazards associated with the Building 774 East Dock pad are common to standard industrial environments. The pad is located adjacent to a construction area, therefore access is controlled per current postings. There are no other unique hazards associated with these areas.

Physical hazards are controlled by the Site Occupational Safety and Industrial Hygiene Program, which is based on OSHA regulations, DOE orders, and standard industry practices.

6 DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Data used in making management decisions for decommissioning of the Building 774 East Dock and consequent waste management, is of adequate quality to support the decisions documented in this report. The data presented in this report (Attachments B and C) were verified and validated relative to MAN-127-PDSP, Pre-Demolition Survey Plan for D&D Facilities, and original project DQOs.

In summary, the Verification and Validation (V&V) process corroborates that the following elements of the characterization process are adequate:

- ♦ the *number* of samples and surveys;
- ♦ the *types* of samples and surveys;
- ♦ the sampling/survey process as implemented "in the field"; and
- ♦ the laboratory analytical process, relative to accuracy and precision considerations.

Details of the DQA are presented in Attachment D. The DQA Checklist is provided in the individual survey unit package (located in the Building 771 Characterization Files).

The Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) for each PDS instrument was determined *a priori* based on typical parameters (background, efficiency, and count time). A list of radiological field instrumentation and associated sensitivities is presented in Table 1.

Table 1
PDS Radiological Field Instrumentation and Minimum Detectable Activities

Model	Measurement Type	MDA (dpm/100 cm ²)
NE Electra DP6	TSA	48
Eberline SAC-4	Removable (Smears)	10
NE Electra AP6	Scans	300

7 DECOMMISSIONING WASTE TYPES

The demolition and disposal of the Building 774 East Dock Pad will generate concrete that can be used as backfill onsite in accordance with the RFCA RSOP for Recycling Concrete.

8 FACILITY CLASSIFICATION AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on the analysis of radiological, chemical and physical hazards, the Building 774 East Dock Pad is classified as an RFCA Type 3 facility pursuant to the RFETS Decommissioning Program Plan (DPP; K-H, 1999). Based upon the results of this PDSR, the Building 774 East Dock Pad meet the unrestricted release limits specified in the site Pre-Demolition Survey Plan and is ready for demolition. The PDS was performed in accordance with the DDCP and PDSP, all PDSP DQOs were met, and all data satisfied the PDSP DQA criteria.

A facility walkdown and historical review indicates that no RCRA/CERCLA constituents exist on the Building 774 East Dock pad (refer to Attachment E, Historical Review).

Radiological contamination in excess of the PDSP Table 7-1 limits was not detected in this survey unit. The applicable limits are as follows:

Table 2
PDSP Table 7-1 Surface Contamination Limits

Radionuclides	Total Average (dpm/100 cm ²) ⁽¹⁾ (DCGL _w)	Total Maximum (dpm/100 cm ²) ⁽²⁾ (DCGL _{EMC})	Removable (dpm/100 cm ²) (DCGL _w)
Transuranics	100	300	20

(1) Measurements of average contamination should not be averaged over an area of more than 1 m².

(2) The maximum contamination level applies to an area of not more than 100 cm².

Based upon this PDSR, the Building 774 East Dock pad can be demolished and the concrete can be used for backfill on-site per the RFCA RSOP for Recycling Concrete.

To ensure that the facility remains free of contamination and that PDS data remain valid, Level 2 isolation controls have been established.

C3

9 REFERENCES

B771 and B774 Hazards Characterization Report for the 771 Closure Project, dated June 12, 2001, Revision 0.

DOE/RFEO, CDPHE, EPA, 1996. *Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement (RFCA)*, July 19, 1996.

DOE Order 5400.5, *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment*

DOE Order 414.1A, *Quality Assurance*

EPA, 1994. *The Data Quality Objective Process*, EPA QA/G-4.

K-H, 1999. *Decommissioning Program Plan*, June 21, 1999.

MAN-131-QAPM, *Kaiser-Hill Team Quality Assurance Program*, Rev. 1, November 1, 2001.

MAN-076-FDPM, *Facility Disposition Program Manual*, Rev. 3, January 1, 2002.

MAN-077-DDCP, *Decontamination and Decommissioning Characterization Protocol*, Rev. 4, July 15, 2002.

MAN-127-PDSP, *Pre-Demolition Survey Plan for D&D Facilities*, Rev. 1, July 15, 2002.

MARSSIM - *Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual* (NUREG-1575, EPA 402-R-97-016).

PRO-475-RSP-16.01, *Radiological Survey/Sampling Package Design, Preparation, Control, Implementation, and Closure*, Rev. 1, May 22, 2001.

PRO-476-RSP-16.02, *Pre-Demolition (Final Status) Radiological Surveys of Surfaces and Structures*, Rev. 2, March 10, 2003.

PRO-477-RSP-16.03, *Radiological Samples of Building Media*, Rev. 1, May 22, 2001.

PRO-478-RSP-16.04, *Radiological Survey/Sample Data Analysis for Final Status Survey*, Rev. 1, May 22, 2001.

PRO-479-RSP-16.05, *Radiological Survey/Sample Quality Control for Final Status Survey*, Rev. 1, May 22, 2001.

PRO-563-ACPR, *Asbestos Characterization Procedure*, Revision 0, August 24, 1999.

PRO-536-BCPR, *Beryllium Characterization Procedure*, Revision 0, August 24, 1999.


RFETS, Environmental Waste Compliance Guidance #25, Management of Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) in Paint and Other Bulk Product Waste During Facility Disposition.

RFETS, Environmental Waste Compliance Guidance #27, Lead-Based Paint (LBP) and Lead-Based Paint Debris Disposal.

RFETS, RFCA RSOP for Recycling Concrete, September 28, 1999

ATTACHMENT A
Survey Unit Overview Map

BLDG 774 SECOND FLOOR PLAN



ATTACHMENT B.

Survey Unit 771049
Radiological Data Summary and Survey Map

Survey Area: AM	Survey Unit: 771049	Building: 774
------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------

Description: 774 E-Dock 200 slab.

Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Final Radiological Survey Summary Results

Total Surface Activity Measurements

Nbr Random Measurements Required: 15

Nbr Biased Measurements Required: 0

Nbr QC Required: 0

Nbr Random Measurements Performed: 15

Nbr Biased Measurements Performed: 0

Nbr QC Performed: 2

Alpha

Maximum:	49.5 dpm/100cm ²
Minimum:	12.8 dpm/100cm ²
Mean:	29.4 dpm/100cm ²
Standard Deviation:	10.5
QC Maximum:	28.6 dpm/100cm ²
QC Minimum:	10.6 dpm/100cm ²
QC Mean:	19.6 dpm/100cm ²
Transuranic DCGL _w :	100.0 dpm/100cm ²
Transuranic DCGL _{EMC} :	300.0 dpm/100cm ²

Removable Surface Activity Measurements

Nbr Random Measurements Required: 15

Nbr Biased Measurements Required: 0

Nbr Random Measurements Performed: 15

Nbr Biased Measurements Performed: 0

Alpha

Maximum:	14.4 dpm/100cm ²
Minimum:	-0.9 dpm/100cm ²
Mean:	1.3 dpm/100cm ²
Standard Deviation:	4.1
Transuranic DCGL _w :	20.0 dpm/100cm ²

Media Sample Results

Nbr Random Required: 0

Nbr Biased Required: 0

Nbr Random Collected: 0

Nbr Biased Collected: 0

Conclusion - A comparison of the random, biased and QC measurement results against the PDSP Table 7-1 Surface Contamination Guideline limits was conducted; the comparison demonstrates that this survey unit passes the criterion specified in the PDSP.

Survey Area: AM**Survey Unit:** 771049**Building:** 774**Description:** 774 E-Dock 200 slab.

Instrument Data Sheet

Inst/RCT Number	RCT ID	Analysis Date	Instr Model	Instru S/N	Probe Type	Calibration Due Dt	Instru Efficiency		A-Priori MDA (dpm/100cm ²)		Survey Type
							Alpha	Beta	Alpha	Beta	
3	516572	07/02/04	SAC-4	1178	NA	09/17/04	0.333	NA	10.0	10.0	R
4	516572	07/02/04	SAC-4	1410	NA	10/13/04	0.333	NA	10.0	10.0	R
5	516572	07/02/04	SAC-4	1185	NA	08/09/04	0.333	NA	10.0	10.0	R
6	514979	07/02/04	Electra	1536	DP-6	12/22/04	0.218	NA	48.0	NA	T
7	702353	07/02/04	Electra	1375	DP-6	09/05/04	0.222	NA	48.0	NA	Q

Survey Types: T = Total Surface Activity, Q = TSA QC, S = Scan, R = Removable Surface Activity, I = Investigation

Survey Area: AM**Survey Unit:** 771049**Building:** 774**Description:** 774 E-Dock 200 slab.**Random Removable Surface Activity Data Sheet**

Random Measurement Location	Inst / RCT Nbr	Net Alpha (dpm/100cm ²)	Net Beta (dpm/100cm ²)	
771049PRP-N001	3	-0.6	N/A	
771049PRP-N002	4	-0.3	N/A	
771049PRP-N003	5	-0.9	N/A	
771049PRP-N004	3	5.4	N/A	
771049PRP-N005	4	-0.3	N/A	
771049PRP-N006	5	-0.9	N/A	
771049PRP-N007	3	0.9	N/A	
771049PRP-N008	4	-0.3	N/A	
771049PRP-N009	5	-0.9	N/A	
771049PRP-N010	3	14.4	N/A	
771049PRP-N011	4	1.2	N/A	
771049PRP-N012	5	-0.9	N/A	
771049PRP-N013	3	3.9	N/A	
771049PRP-N014	4	-0.3	N/A	
771049PRP-N015	5	-0.9	N/A	

Comments:

Survey Area: AM**Survey Unit:** 771049**Building:** 774**Description:** 774 E-Dock 200 slab.**Random/QC Total Surface Activity Data Sheet**

Random Measurement Location	Inst / RCT Nbr	Net Alpha (dpm/100cm ²)	Net Beta (dpm/100cm ²)	
771049PRP-N001	6	25.2	N/A	
771049QRP-N001	7	10.6	N/A	
771049PRP-N002	6	34.4	N/A	
771049PRP-N003	6	34.4	N/A	
771049PRP-N004	6	12.8	N/A	
771049PRP-N005	6	34.4	N/A	
771049PRP-N006	6	49.5	N/A	
771049PRP-N007	6	22.0	N/A	
771049PRP-N008	6	28.0	N/A	
771049PRP-N009	6	49.5	N/A	
771049PRP-N010	6	31.2	N/A	
771049PRP-N011	6	28.0	N/A	
771049PRP-N012	6	31.2	N/A	
771049QRP-N012	7	28.6	N/A	
771049PRP-N013	6	18.8	N/A	
771049PRP-N014	6	16.0	N/A	
771049PRP-N015	6	25.2	N/A	

Comments:

Printed On: 07/17/04 08:48

Page: 4 of 4

21

RADIOLOGICAL CLOSEOUT SURVEY FOR THE 771 CLUSTER

Survey Area: AM

Survey Unit: 771049

Classification: 2

Building: 774

Survey Unit Description: East dock





Total Floor Area: 158 sq. m

Total Area: 158 sq. m

Grid Size: 3m x 3m

SURVEY UNIT 771049 - MAP 1 OF 1

200 East Dock



ATTACHMENT C


Chemical Data Summaries and Sample Maps

BERYLLIUM CHARACTERIZATION SURVEY FOR THE 771 CLUSTER



Survey Area: AM Survey Unit: 771049 Be Classification: NA
Building: 774
Survey Unit Description: East Dock
Total Floor Area: sq. ft. Total Area: NA Grid Size: NA

SURVEY UNIT 771049 Be - MAP 1 OF 1

East Dock



Sample location	Sample Number	Sample Result
101 thru 105	774-12-04-2003-76-101 thru 105	<0.1 ug/100 sq. cm
	774-12-04-2003-76-106 thru 107	Blanks



ATTACHMENT D
Data Quality Assessment

DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT (DQA)

VERIFICATION & VALIDATION OF RESULTS

V&V of the data confirm that appropriate quality controls are implemented throughout the sampling and analysis process, and that any substandard controls result in qualification or rejection of the data in question. The required quality controls and their implementation are summarized in a tabular, checklist format for each category of data – radiological surveys and chemical analyses (specifically beryllium).

DQA criteria and results are provided in a tabular format for each suite of surveys or chemical analyses performed; the radiological survey assessment is provided in Table E-1, and beryllium in E-2. A data completeness summary for all results is given in Table E-3.

All relevant Quality records supporting this report are maintained in the B771 Characterization Project Files. This report will be submitted to the CERCLA Administrative Record for permanent storage within 30 days of approval by the Regulators. All radiological data are organized into Survey Packages, which correlate to unique Survey Units. Chemical data are organized by RIN (Report Identification Number) and are traceable to the sample number and corresponding sample location.

Survey designs were implemented based on the transuranic limits used as DCGLs in the unrestricted release decision process. All survey results were evaluated against, and were less than the Transuranic DCGL_w (100 dpm/100cm²).

SUMMARY

In summary, the data presented in this report have been verified and validated relative to the quality requirements and project decisions as stated in the original DQOs. All data are useable based on qualifications stated herein and are considered satisfactory without qualification.

Based upon an independent review of the radiological data, it is determined that the original project DQOs satisfied site PDSP guidance. All facility contamination levels were below applicable unrestricted release levels, except as noted above. Minimum survey requirements were met, sampling/survey protocol was performed in accordance with applicable procedures, survey units were properly designed and bounded, and instrument performance and calibration were within acceptable limits.

Level 2 Isolation Controls have been implemented to prevent the inadvertent introduction of further contamination into the facility. On this basis, the B774 East Dock Slab area meets the RLCP and PDSP DQO criteria with the confidences stated herein.

Table E-1 V&V of Radiological Surveys – B774 East Dock Slab

V&V CRITERIA, RADIOLGICAL SURVEYS		K-H RSP 16.00 Series MARSSIM (NUREG-1575)		
QUALITY REQUIREMENTS				
	Parameters	Measure	Frequency	COMMENTS
ACCURACY	initial calibrations	80%<x<120 %	≥1	Calibration using Alpha Group procedure and approved technicians
	daily source checks	80%<x<120 %	≥1/day	Performed daily/within range.
	local area background: Field	typically < 10 dpm	≥1/day	All local area backgrounds were within expected Ranges <10 cpm
PRECISION	field duplicate measurements for TSA	≥5% of real survey points	≥100% packages	N/A
REPRESENTATIVENESS	MARSSIM methodology: Survey Unit 771049	statistical	NA	Random w/ statistical confidence.
	Survey Maps	NA	NA	Random measurement locations controlled/mapped to ±1m.
	Controlling Documents (Characterization Pkg; RSPs)	qualitative	NA	Refer to the Characterization Package (planning document) for field/sampling procedures (located in Project files); thorough documentation of the planning, sampling/analysis process, and data reduction into formats.
COMPARABILITY	units of measure	dpm/100cm ²	NA	Use of standardized engineering units in the reporting of measurement results.
COMPLETENESS	Plan vs. Actual surveys usable results vs. unusable	>95% >95%	NA	
SENSITIVITY	detection limits	TSA: ≤50 dpm/100cm ² RA: ≤10 dpm/100cm ²	all measures	MDAs ≤ ½ DCGL _w per MARSSIM guidelines.

Table E-2 V&V of Beryllium Results – B774 East Dock Slab

V&V CRITERIA, CHEMICAL ANALYSES		DATA PACKAGE		
BERYLLIUM	Prep: NMAM 7300 METHOD: OSHA ID-125G	LAB ---->	Johns Manville Corp. Denver, Co.	
		RIN ---->	RIN 774-12042003- 76-101 thru 105	
QUALITY REQUIREMENTS		Measure	Frequency	COMMENTS
ACCURACY	Calibrations Initial	linear calibration	≥1	No qualifications significant enough to change project decisions, i.e., classification of Type 3 facilities confirmed. All results were below associated action levels.
	Continuing	80%<%R<120%	≥1	
	LCS/MS	80%<%R<120%	≥1	
	Blanks - lab & field	<MDL	≥1	
	interference check std (ICP)	NA	NA	
PRECISION	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate	80%<%R<120% (RPD<20%)	≥1	
	field duplicate	all results < RL	≥1	
REPRESENTATIVENESS	COC	Qualitative	NA	
	hold times/preservation	Qualitative	NA	
	Controlling Documents (Plans, Procedures, maps, etc.)	Qualitative	NA	
COMPARABILITY	measurement units	ug/100cm ²	NA	
COMPLETENESS	Plan vs. Actual samples	>95%	NA	
	usable results vs. unusable	>95%		
SENSITIVITY	detection limits	MDL of 0.10ug/100cm ²	all measures	

Table E-3 Data Completeness Summary – B774 East Dock Slab

ANALYTE	Building/Area /Unit	Sample Number Planned (Real & QC)^	Sample Number Taken (Real & QC)	Project Decisions (Conclusions) & Uncertainty	Comments (RIN, Analytical Method, Qualifications, etc.)
Beryllium	Survey Area: AM Survey Unit: 771049 B774 East Dock Slab	5 biased 2 Blanks	5 biased 2 Blanks	No beryllium contamination found at any location, all results below the regulatory limit	OSHA ID-125G RIN 771-12042003-76-101 thru 105 No results above action level (0.2ug/100cm ²) or investigative level (0.1ug/100cm ²).
Radiological	Survey Area: AM Survey Unit: 771049 B774 East Dock Slab	15 α TSA (15 – Random/Systematic) and 15 α Smears (15 - Random/Systematic) 2 QC TSA 100% scanned	15 α TSA (15 – Random/Systematic) and 15 α Smears (15 - Random/Systematic) 2 QC TSA 100% scanned	No elevated contamination at any location; all values below PDS unrestricted release levels No result above action level	Transuranic DCGLs No result above action level

ATTACHMENT E

Historical Review

Area AM (Building 774 East Dock Pad)
Historical Review
July 19, 2004

Facility ID: Building 774 East Dock Pad
Anticipated Facility Type (1, 2, or 3): Type 3
Physical Description: The Building 774 East Dock is located on the northeast corner of Building 774. This area is a 60 by 28-foot dock that was constructed in 1969. The metal/transite walls and roof were removed and disposed of as radiological waste. The only area that remains is the concrete slab (floor). The East Dock was primarily used for the shipping and receiving of drums and crates for Building 774. The area was not typically controlled/posted as a radiological area or Beryllium Area during operations and D&D. Per the B771 and B774 Hazards Characterization Report (dated 6/12/01), the East Dock is not a known Beryllium Area (historical and present).
Historical Operations: The East Dock was primarily used for the shipping and receiving of drums and crates for Building 774.
Current Operational Status No longer operational.
Contaminants of Concern
Asbestos Asbestos siding (transite) existed on the walls of the Building 774 East Dock. The transite and metal walls/roof have been removed and only the concrete slab remains.
Beryllium (Be) The Building 774 East Dock pad is not RFETS Beryllium (Be) Areas, based on historical and existing classifications, and historical use.
Lead A visual inspection of the Building 774 East Dock pad by 771/774 Environmental Compliance personnel verified the absence of hazardous waste residuals and/or stains. No paint exists on the slab surfaces.
RCRA/CERCLA Constituents A visual inspection of the Building 774 East Dock pad by 771/774 Environmental Compliance personnel verified the absence of hazardous waste residuals and/or stains. The underground diesel tanks were washed and foamed in accordance with RFCA.
PCBs Free-flowing or exposed PCBs have never been used or transferred in/on these areas.
Radiological Contaminants The contaminants of concern for the 771 project, including all areas of Buildings 771 and 774, are transuranic alpha-emitting radioisotopes (including Pu-238, Pu-239/240, Pu-242, and Am-241). Based on findings documented in Radiological Engineering TBD-00161, Rev. 0, alpha-only surveys assure that the unrestricted-release limits for any other isotopes that may exist in Building 771/774 will not be exceeded. There is no history of radiological contamination in/on these areas.

Area AM (Building 774 East Dock Pad)
Historical Review
July 19, 2004

Environmental Restoration Concerns

No IHSS exists under the Building 774 East Dock pad. Characterization of the under building contamination (UBC) has been conducted for the entire 771/774 Project. Based on the preliminary results, no remedial action is anticipated.

Additional Information

None

References

- (1) *B771 and B774 Hazards Characterization Report for the 771 Closure Project*, dated June 12, 2001, Revision 0.
- (2) *Building 771/774 Cluster Closure Project Reconnaissance Level Characterization Report*, dated August 8, 1998, Revision 2.

Further Actions

Complete the PDS process.